

BOARD OF TRUSTEES - LAKE LIMERICK COUNTRY CLUB

July 17, 1999 9:00 A.M.

I. ROLL CALL:

Scott Carey

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Board of Trustees Minutes of June 19, 1999

FINANCIAL REPORT:

Bill Buff

IV. CONSENT AGENDA:

(Committees)

Architectural Committee

Election Committee

Financial Advisory Committee

Greens Committee

tee Inn Committee

Lake/Dam Committee

Long Range Planning. Committee

Maintenance/Parks Committee

Nominating Committee

Security Committee

Water Committee

Youth Committee

(Reminder: non-smoking meeting, we will break every hour)

ITEMS FROM CONSENT AGENDA:

1. 2.

3. 4. 5.

V. OLD BUSINESS:

- 1. Water Committee Meter Status 50 Remaining to Install
- 2. Budget Development Status
- 3. Performance Evaluations Status

'/I. NEW BUSINESS:

- 1. Financial Advisory Committee Appointment
- 2. Chief Knight- Mason Co. Fire Department

3.

VII. EXECUTIVE:

- 1. Canadian Goose Relocation
- 4. Vandal Arrest- Anglia Beach Restroom

- 2. Meal Tickets
- 3. Dick's Schedule

VIII. COMMENTS FROM MEMBERSHIP:

IX. CORRESPONDENCE:

X. ANNOUNCEMENTS:

MOTION TO CONVENE TO CLOSED SESSION:

- 1. Communication with Legal Counsel
- 2. Personnel Matter

(The Motion must state Specifically the purpose for the closed session and must be referenced in the minutes)

XI. CLOSED SESSION:

(The closed session may only include matters dealing with personnel matters; legal counsel or communication with legal counsel; and likely or pending litigation of an owner to the association.)

XII. MOTION TO RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION:

XIII. MOTION TO ACCEPT ALL CLOSED SESSION MOTIONS:

otions or agreements made in closed session may not become effective unless the board, following the closed session, reconvenes in open meeting and votes in the open meeting on the closed session motions.)

XIV. MOTION TO ADJOURN MEETING:



LAKE LIMERICK COUNTRY CLUB, INC,

790 E. St. Andrews Drive Shelton, WA 98584 Phone (360) 426-3581, Fax (360) 426-8922, e-mail lakelim@hctc.com

BOARD OF TRUSTEES July 17,1999

ROLL CALL:

President Dick Lombard called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. Trustees attending the meeting are Secretary Scott Carey, Treasurer Bill Buff, Trustee Ruby Bailey, Trustee Gene Metz, Trustee Nan Stricklin, Trustee George Buckley, Trustee Esther Springer-Johannesen, and Trustee Mary Lou Trautmann. Vice President Darrell Winans arrived at 9:05.

Trustee absent is Trustee John Bykonen.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Motion made by Tr. Bill Buff, seconded by Tr. Scott Carey, and carried by the Board as follows:

The Board of Trustees approved the minutes of June 19, 1999 as presented.

FINANCIAL REPORT: Bill Buff

Treasurer Bill Buff recapped the June 1999 LLCC Financial Report year-end. The LLCC Balance Sheet Past Due Accounts Receivable is in better shape.

Motion made by Tr. George Buckley, seconded by Tr. Ruby Bailey, and carried by the Board as follows:

The Board of Trustees approved the June 1999 financial report as presented.

Motion made by Tr. Esther Springer-Johannesen, seconded by Tr. Bill Buff, and carried by the Board as follows:

The Board of Trustees approves the July 1999 Consent Agenda with the following additions: 1. Arch. 4-098, 2. Arch Grading, 3. Arch new applications.

ITEMS FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA:

Consent Agenda Item 1. Architectural Committee – Div 4-Lot 098

Architectural Chairperson Pat Feist gave a brief history of the letters sent to Div 4, Lot 098 regarding Lake Limerick infractions. The Architectural Committee approved penalizing the owners \$1,000.00 and informing them of the right to due process before the board of trustees.

Motion made by Tr. Nan Stricklin, seconded by Tr. Darrell Winans, and carried by the Board as follows:

The Board of Trustees adopts the recommendation of the Architectural Committee to impose a \$1,000.00 penalty to Division 4, Lot 098 for failure to comply with the covenants and restrictions of Division 4 of Lake Limerick Country Club, Inc.

Consent Agenda Item 2. Architectural Committee – Grading Permit

Tr. Esther Springer-Johannesen asked for a clarification between landscaping and grading. Chairperson Pat Feist said grading was an actual change in the slope of the property.

Consent Agenda Item 3. Architectural Committee - New Applications

There are no charges for removing dead or dangerous trees on the owner's property.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Chief Knight - Mason County Fire Department

Chief Knight addressed the board of trustees regarding services offered by the District 5 Fire Department. Paramedic Bob Ferber also talked to the board about District 5's responsibilities to the community.

OLD BUSINESS

1. Water Committee - Meter Status Report

President Dick Lombard noted there are about 50 water meters left to install, meters are on back order.

2. Budget Development – status

The various committees are meeting to discuss their 1999-2000 budgets. The completed budget will be presented at the August board of trustees meeting.

3. Performance Evaluations - status.

All, but one, employee evaluations have been completed.

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Financial Advisory Committee - Bill Buff

Motion made by Tr. Scott Carey, seconded by Tr. Esther Springer-Johannesen, and carried by the Board as follows:

The Board of Trustees appoints Bill Buff to the Financial Advisory Committee for a five-year term.

EXECUTIVE:

1. Canadian Goose Relocation

President Dick Lombard said the Department of Fish and Wildlife relocated 71 geese to Willipah Bay. Several Lake Limerick members helped with the "goose upping".

2. Meal Tickets - Discontinue

Motion made by Tr. Bill Buff, seconded by Tr. Gene Metz, and carried by the Board as follows:

The Board of Trustees approves the Executive Committee's recommendation to discontinue selling meal tickets.

Those tickets that are already sold will be honored until the end of September 1999.

3. President Dick Lombard's Vacation Schedule

resident Dick Lombard will be gone from July 21st through August 15th. Vice President Darrell Winans will be acting president.

4. Vandal Arrest – Anglia Beach Restroom

The cost of the Anglia Beach Restroom vandalism is \$2,001.00. The case is in the prosecutor's office now.

COMMENTS FROM MEMBERSHIP:

Tr. Nan Stricklin commended the restaurant menu and wonderful food.

CORRESPONDENCE:

Dan Robinson wrote a letter that Dick Lombard read to the board of trustees regarding Lake Leprechaun. Lake/Dam Chairperson Phil Lalle will respond to the correspondence. President Dick Lombard sent a thank you to Dick Sirokman for chairing LLDAZE.

ANNOUNCEMENTS: None

Recess

Motion made by Tr. Esther Springer-Johannesen, seconded by Tr. George Buckley and carried by the Board as follows:

The board of trustees convenes to closed session to discuss matters regarding (a) Communication with Legal Counsel, (b) Personnel Matter

Motion made by Tr. Scott Carey, seconded by Tr. Darrell Winans and carried by the Board as follows:

The Board of Trustees approves all motions in the Executive Session.

Motion made by Tr. Esther Springer Johannesen, seconded by Tr. Bill Buff and carried by the Board as follows:

The board of trustees adjourns the meeting at 10:30 am.

Respectfully submitted, Scott Carey, Secretary Preliminary Minutes not approved by the Board of Trustees, for review only.

Fire Protection District 5

Central Mason County

Iission Statement:

"To protect life, health, and property while maintaining the highest standards of conduct and service by providing efficient response to calls for assistance."

Commissioners

Del G. Griffey

Dennis F. Fournier

Lome C. Hauser

Chief

Richard A. Knight

Assistant Chief

Michael J. Snyder

Executive Secretary

Donna M. Clark

Post Office Box 127 Allyn, Washington 98524

usiness:

(360) 426-5533 or (360) 275-2889

Fax:

(360) 426-8959 or (360) 275-2880

mcfd5@aol.com

http://members.aol.com/ uicisready/m5.homepage.html

EMERGENCY SERVICES

Fire and Life Safety Fire protection

FIRECOM

Dispatch and Communications

FIRE MEDIC

Paramedic

Advanced Life Support

and

Ambulance Service

Lake Limerick Country Club 790E St. Andrews Drive Shelton WA 98584

June 30, 1999

Lake Limerick Country Club:

District 5 will be requesting voter approval for the Emergency Medical Services levy at the September 14 primary election.

We would like very much to send a representative to a meeting with your organization to discuss your questions about your fire department and the services we provide.

Please contact me at your earliest convenience if we can set up a time when we could meet with your group.

I look forward to hearing from you. ?

Sincerely,

Richard A. Knight

Chief



PEOPLE SERVING PEOPLE SINCE 1953

Lake Limerick Country Club, Inc.

June 21, 1999

Patricia Holmes E. 30 Glamis Court Shelton, Wa 98684

Mrs. Holmes:

Thank you for attending the Board of Trustees meeting on June 19, 1999 and presenting your concerns relative to procedures followed by the Architectural Committee in the performance of their volunteer service to the members of Lake Limerick.

Several of the questions and comments you raised were answered at the meeting and I indicated to you that I would attempt to respond to you in a timely manner on those I needed to research. Those questions and our responses are as follows:

Question 1. Do you still require three (3) sets of plans and specifications, including plot plans showing location of such structure on the building site, exterior elevations and color schemes? (V-a)

RESPONSE: One set of plans and specifications, to include plot plans is required.

Do you require plans, spec. and material for fences and hedges? (V-a)

RESPONSE: These are required for fences.

Do you require "approval in writing by any two (2) members of the Architectural Committee? (V-a)

RESPONSE: Yes

What are the penalties for failing to post a Lake Limerick building permit?

RESPONSE: None

Question 2. What kind of conditions would warrant it "deem necessary or advisable" (VI-i) by the Architectural Committee to deviate from the set backs for fences and hedges?

RESPONSE: This is considered on a case by case basis and addressed only upon the request of an applicant.

Do you make verbal variances?

RESPONSE: No.

Question 4. What is the policy regarding concerns expressed by neighbor concerning "variances"?

RESPONSE: There is no stated policy.

How are they addressed? Are they addressed?

RESPONSE: They are normally addressed when the proposed construction would obstruct or substantially interfere with the neighbor's view.

Question 6. Rule V-g states "Before starting any construction, clearance must also be secured from the appropriate Mason County Governing bodies". What is the enforcement for that Lake Limerick regulation by Lake Limerick?

RESPONSE: Approval of applications is contingent upon the applicant having all required county permits.

Question 9. We wish to see a copy of the approved fence application for Div. 3, Lot 300-301.

We will respond to this last question and/or statement only after consultation with legal counsel since the application may be part of a legal action.

Again, I thank you for attending the meeting and presenting your concerns to the Board of Trustees. If I can be of further assistance in these matters, please do not he sitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

C. Richard Lombard

President

CLBOT FAX TO ROB Wilson Hoss /

LEVY FACTS

- 1. When did we start collecting EMS levy money?
 1980. This is not a new tax. As you can see it was first approved by the voters for collection to start in 1980. (20 years)
- 2. Where in the district budget do we use the EMS money?
 (What is it used for?) EMS Services. It cannot be used to provide Fire Services.
- 3. How many districts in Mason County collect EMS money?
 All Fire Districts in Mason County collect EMS money. This includes Fire District 13 Cloquallum and the City of Shelton which receive the full \$.50 cents.
- 4. How many years is it good for?

 When approved by the voters we can collect it permanently. By petition of 15% of the voters of the District it must be resubmitted to the voters to request re-approval.
- 5. Why do we charge for transport service if we collect EMS tax?

 EMS tax is not sufficient to cover operating costs (at 25 cents the tax covers less that 1/3 of the cost of operation. At \$.50 cents the tax will cover about 2/3 of the cost.
- 6. What are the future plans for the use of EMS funds?

 These funds will continue to cover a portion of the cost of providing EMS service to the citizens of the District. The additional \$.25 cents will allow us to provide for replacement of equipment, upgrading of quarters for the on-duty EMS personnel and improve EMS services. These funds are essential to continued EMS services.
- 7. What is the condition of the medic units at this time?
 Our existing medics units are well maintained and are providing good service. They are however exceeding 200,000 miles this year and are accumulating mileage at the rate of about 30,000 per year. With this much mileage there is a question about their reliability.
- 8. What are the limitations for the use of EMS levy funds? Can these funds be used for just about anything?
 No. These funds can be used for EMS services only.
- 9. Why do so many units respond to the scene of an aid call?
 We dispatch multiple units to get the fastest response by sending the closest unit and to get sufficient manpower and proper equipment to the scene to handle the needs of the patient(s).

LEVY FACTS

- 10. What will the district do if the EMS levy fails?

 The EMS equipment replacement funds and the funds needed to upgrade the EMS facilities of the District (primarily the living quarters for the on-duty EMS/Firefighter staff) would have to be obtained through some other means. A special levy bond issue with the attendant cost of election, issue of the bonds and interest expenses would be needed. Service improvements would be postponed or simply not accomplished.
- 11. Why do we provide ALS service to other districts?

 We provide ALS services to other Districts to generate revenue. And also, we are a provider of ALS service. Mutual aid, neighbor-helping neighbor, is what fire services do. How would we decide whom to refuse? Refusing service outside of boundaries is the way it use to be done. Fire departments use to drive up to their boundary and stop and let buildings burn down on the other side of the line. The voters, the citizens, and the courts said a long time ago that was not acceptable.
- 12. Do these districts pay for this service?

 Yes, their patients pay approximately 30% more for service.

 (An additional \$300 on the base fee).
- 13. What percentage of calls is EMS in comparison to the total call volume?

 Over the last three years 72 percent of all calls are EMS related.
- 14. What was the total number of EMS calls for the years 1995 through 1999?
 95-982, 96-1144, 97- 1055, 98- 1171, and 99- 519 (as of June 27th).
- 15. How many calls are we projecting for 2000 and beyond?

 At this time it looks like an estimated up to 15% increase per year.
- 16. What is the age of our ambulances?
 A 1985 (227,326 miles), a 1991 (186,605 miles) and a 1992 (190,406 miles).
- 17. How much of the total EMS budget would be paid by EMS levy at 50 cents? About 5/8.

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT 5 MASON COUNTY FIRE – RESCUE – MEDICAL

EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES – EMS

LEVY FACTS

How much is the <u>current</u> EMS levy?

The current EMS levy is \$.25 cents per thousand dollars of assessed value (\$242,709 in 1999)

• What is an EMS levy?

An EMS levy is allowed on approval by a vote of the electors in a Fire District to provide funds to the Fire District to provide Emergency Medical Services.

How much is the <u>proposed</u> levy?

The proposed levy is \$.25 additional for a total of \$.50 per thousand dollars of assessed value. The estimated dollar amount for the calendar year 2000 would be \$485,418 to \$506, 000. Each year thereafter the levy would be subject to the 6% limit on increase.

• What will be the effect on the EMS services of District 5 if this levy fails?

The EMS equipment replacement funds and the funds needed to upgrade the EMS facilities of the District (primarily the living quarters for the on duty EMS/Firefighter staff) would have to be obtained through some other means. A special levy bond issue with the attendant cost of election, issue of the bonds and interest expenses would be needed. Needed service improvements would be postponed or simply not accomplished. These expenditures are essential to the continued provision of EMS services.

What do you propose to do with these funds?

One or both of the first line Medic Ambulances would be replaced at an estimated cost of \$200,000 for both units. Both Ambulances will have over 200,000 miles by the end of 1999. Though they continue to provide somewhat reliable service both need to be replaced as soon as possible.

The two manned Stations, Allyn and Lake Limerick, must be upgraded to meet current standards and needs. Twenty to thirty years ago when these stations were constructed neither was envisioned as having staff living in the stations 24 hours a day seven days a week. The conditions are substandard and do not meet current codes.

The \$.50 cent EMS Levy is a way to acquire ambulances and make repairs with minimal costs to the taxpayers. All of the funds collected will go towards the EMS program of Fire Protection District 5 Mason County. These upgrades, acquisitions and program enhancements will benefit the citizens and taxpayers of Mason 5.

PLEASE VOTE

ELECTION DAY: TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14

July 9, 1999

Dear Mr. Lombard,

On behalf of myself and my successors in Lake Management at Lake Limerick, I request that you read the following statement to your Board of Trustees at their next meeting, and that you publish the statement in the next Community newsletter.

A statement in the July 1999 newsletter under the title: LAKE/DAM COMMITTEE, Phil Lalle, Chair-person, includes the following statements:

"... Unfortunately, Lake Leprechaun was not included in the grant money from the State. The lake will be treated at our expense, however, next years application for funds will include a request for Lake Leprechaun."

The implications here are obviously that "someone" forgot to seek State funds for Leprechaun weed control, that State funds are available each year, and that "next" year, State funds will be available for Leprechaun. None of these points are correct, and apparently Mr. Lalle was misinformed about them. The actual facts regarding Lake Leprechaun are as follows:

The "grant money" referred to is awarded on a competitive basis to Lakes that have major infestations of non-native weeds, such as the Brazilian Elodea in Lake Limerick for which we were fortunate to be awarded two successive grants to combat it. Leprechaun, fortunately, has no non-native weeds, and therefore did not, and does not, qualify for grant funds. No grants for Lake Management have been awarded the past two years, although the current legislature has directed the Department of Ecology to begin distributing Grants in 2000. Due to the highly competitive nature of the grant awards, it is extremely unlikely that Lake Limerick could win another follow-on award. Even if it did, such an award could not be applied to Leprechaun for the reason stated above.

Lake Leprechaun does have an infestation of a troublesome native rush, a type of hardy grass, that is resistant to the herbicides allowed by the Department of Ecology. The legislature has directed the Department of Ecology to update their 7 year-old herbicide rules, which may result in the availability of additional products next year that will be effective on these rushes. In any event, any expense will be borne by Lake Limerick Country Club.

I believe the above statement will partly ameliorate the disservice done to myself, and will correct the misinformation directed at the residents at Lake Leprechain.

Dan Robinson Past Director

Lake Limerick Lake Management